IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 35165 of 1998**

Between:

B. Meena Nayan Goud, S/o Satyanarayana,
R/o D.NO.1/345, Chintaguntapalem, Machilipatnam-521001.

**... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The NTR University of Health Sciences, A.P., Vijayawada, Rep by its

Registrar.
2 Chairman, Selection into Under-Graduate,
Professional Courses, C/o NTR University of Health Sciences,
A.P., Vijayawada.
3 The Convener, Committee for Admissions, C/o NTR University of

Health Sciences, A.P., Vijayawada.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the procedure adopted by the university authorities in making the selection and admission into the 1st year MBBS course for the academic year 1998-99 is contrary to law and illegal and consequently set aside the provisional admission made in pursuance of the Notification dt.21-11-98 and further direct he respondents university to make the selection strictly in accordance with the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in RITESH R.SAH case.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.E.PARTHASARATHY**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR MEDICAL HEALTH & FAMI.WELFARE**

**The Court made the following:**

## ORDER:

The petitioner, by the present writ petition, seeks Mandamus declaring the procedure adopted by the University authorities in making the selection and admission into the 1st year MBBS course for the academic year 1998-99 is contrary to law, illegal and to consequently set aside the provisional admissions made in pursuance of the notification dated 21-11-1998 and for a further direction to the university to make the selection strictly in accordance with the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in Ritesh R. Sah Case.

2. When the matter is taken up today, none appears for the petitioner as well as the respondents. By lapse of time, the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

**N.V. RAMANA, J**

April 7, 2005

**MRR**

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

**Copy to. –**

*1 The Registrar representing the NTR University of Health Sciences,*

*A.P., Vijayawada,*
*2 Chairman, Selection into Under-Graduate,*
*Professional Courses, C/o NTR University of Health Sciences,*
*A.P., Vijayawada.*
*3 The Convener, Committee for Admissions, C/o NTR University of*

*Health Sciences, A.P., Vijayawada.*

Two C.C. s to the G.P. for Medical Health & Family Welfare, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

Two C.D. Copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP {**TSSB**}*